UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/680,358	10/07/2003	Frank J. Schwab	MSDI-245/PC819.00	5654
52196 MEDTRONIC	7590 02/25/201	0	EXAMINER	
Attn: Noreen Jo	ohnson - IP Legal Depa	WOODALL, NICHOLAS W		
2600 Sofamor Danek Drive MEMPHIS, TN 38132			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3775	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/25/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/680,358	SCHWAB ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Nicholas Woodall	3775	

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)	Application No.
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she	-
THE REPLY FILED 10 February 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CO.	
1. A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendn application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply meriods:	nent, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection	٦.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS fr	om the mailing date of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a).	
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the correspor under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after t may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee I for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41 filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period se AMENDMENTS	41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or sear	
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by appeal; and/or 	materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	of finally rejected claims.
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notic 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	e of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in	a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. ☑ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appendent The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: <u>11,38 and 49</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>31,53 and 56</u> .	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,19,22-27,29,30,32-40 and 49-51</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons wh was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	y the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, bu entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier process.	under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the cl REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	laims after entry is below or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the a	application in condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No. 13. Mothers The applicant a property with respect to also me 13.10.23.27.20.30.33.	
13. A Other: The applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3,19,22-27,29,30,32 argument that the Dillhoff reference does not disclose a device wherein the frame is steach other is not persuasive. As discussed in the previous office action the term "structure"	tructured to move the guide member parallel to
the claim a functional limitation, and the reference only needs to disclose a device cap The Dillhoff reference discloses elements 45 and 46 that are pivotably connected to the as the arms arms are moved up and down providing the capability of the surfaces of e	ne arms, which allows elements 45 and 46 to pivot
movement of the arms. The applicant further argues that the reference does not disclered remaining parallel during movement of the arms. The pivot connection between elements	ose a structure or method of elements 45 and 46 ents 45 and 46 are the structure that allows the
elemetris the capability of remaining parallel during movement of the arms. The examiner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be examined apparatus.	employed does not differentiate the claimed
apparatus from a prior art apparatus" if the prior art apparatus teaches all the structura USPQ2d 1647 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1987)" (see MPEP 2114. The Dillhoff reference	discloses all the structural limitations of the claim
and is capable of performing the functional language. Therefore, the Dillhoff reference presented. The applicant's argument that the Dillhoff reference does not disclose the	
and 46 because the examiner's interpretation of the ends of elements 45 and 46 as dis	

not persuasive. The applicant argues that elements 45 and 46 cannot be interpreted as having a distal end that incorporates the entire distal half and a proximal end that incorporates the entire proximal half. A broad definition of the term end is part or place at or adjacent

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

/Thomas C. Barrett/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3775 /Nicholas Woodall/ Examiner, Art Unit 3775 Application No.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20100219